# Day 5, SPbSU LOUD Enough Contest 2

February 4, 2023

▲口 > ▲母 > ▲目 > ▲目 > → 目 → のへぐ

Day 5, SPbSU LOUD Enough Contest 2

#### D 00

- There is always the same number of good and lucky  $n \times n$  matrices.
- Construct a good matrix row-by-row.
- Each new row should *not* be in some linear space.
- The total number of good matrices is  $(2^n 2^0) \cdot (2^n 2^1) \cdot \ldots \cdot (2^n 2^{n-1})$ .
- A lucky matrix: at least one 1 in the first row, the corresponding column can be arbitrary. Repeat on the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix.
- The total number of lucky matrices is  $(2^n-1)\cdot 2^{n-1}\cdot (2^{n-1}-1)\cdot 2^{n-2}\cdot \ldots\cdot (2-1)\cdot 2^0.$
- These numbers are the same.

- OK, but how to solve the problem?
- An "uglier" way : good matrices  $\leftrightarrow$  sequences of binary blocks  $\leftrightarrow$  lucky matrices.
- Requires implementing four conversions, but works.
- The intended solution converts good matrices to lucky matrices (and vice versa) directly, so you only need to implement two conversion procedures.

#### D 00

- $\blacksquare$  Good  $\rightarrow$  lucky: run Gauss, but don't swap the rows.
- Instead, for each row *i*, find the first yet-unused column *j* with  $A_{i,j} = 1$ .
- Now, "freeze" the following items into the answer:  $A_{i,k}$  for each unused column k and  $A_{r,j}$  for each  $r \ge i$ .
- Now, proceed with the usual step of the Gauss algorithm: ensure that the new values of  $A_{r,j}$  are all zero when r > i. Notice that we already "froze" these matrix entries into the answer.
- The result is a lucky matrix, with  $i \rightarrow j$  being exactly the greedy matching.

#### **D** 00

- Good  $\rightarrow$  *lucky*: run Gauss, but don't swap the rows.
- Instead, for each row *i*, find the first yet-unused column *j* with  $A_{i,j} = 1$ .
- Now, "freeze" the following items into the answer: A<sub>i,k</sub> for each unused column k and A<sub>r,i</sub> for each r ≥ i.
- Now, proceed with the usual step of the Gauss algorithm: ensure that the new values of  $A_{r,j}$  are all zero when r > i. Notice that we already "froze" these matrix entries into the answer.
- The result is a good matrix, with  $i \rightarrow j$  being exactly the greedy matching.

- Lucky  $\rightarrow$  good: recover the greedy matching and then reverse the above process, starting from the lower rows and then going to the upper ones.
- The process was specifically made to be reversible: we remember A<sub>r,j</sub> before the row-xor operations, therefore we know whether or not we have actually done them during the Gauss algorithm.

### D •0

### Two Missing Numbers/Nimbers

- There was an unintentional hint: the problem was named "Two Missing Nimbers" in the testing system and in the contest standings.
- Interpret the elements of the input as elements of the field of size  $2^{64}$ .
- This field has several interpretations, they are all isomorphic and have characteristic 2 (meaning that x + x = 0 for any x).
- Suppose that the target numbers are x and y.
- The sum of all input numbers is x + y (all other numbers cancel out).
- We can also compute the sum of squares, but it is not useful:  $(x + y)^2 = x^2 + 2xy + y^2 = x^2 + y^2$ , because 2 = 0.
- The sum of cubes is better:  $(x + y)^3 (x^3 + y^3) = 3(x^2y + xy^2) = xy(x + y)$ .
- Recover *xy* through division.

## Two Missing Numbers/Nimbers

- Alternatively: compute 1/x + 1/y = (x + y)/(xy) (zeroes are improbable).
- Or compute x<sup>2</sup>y + xy<sup>2</sup> directly and not through the sum of cubes: when we add z to the list, this value increases by z<sup>2</sup> · s + z · s<sup>2</sup> (again, here we use that (x<sub>1</sub> + ... + x<sub>k</sub>)<sup>2</sup> = x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> + ... + x<sub>k</sub><sup>2</sup>).
- In the end, we know x + y and xy.
- Need to solve a quadratic equation. There are multiple methods.
- The following should work: to solve p(x) = 0, where  $p(x) = x^2 + ax + b$ , compute the GCD of  $(x + r)^{(2^{64}-1)/3} 1$  and p(x) (r is random here).
- This way, we filter out exactly one third of all non-zero elements of the field.
- A common heuristic suggests that we will recover all roots in O(1) iterations.