

# Day 5, SPb SU LOUD Enough Contest 2

February 4, 2023

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ○ 2 ○ 2 ○ 2

Day 5, SPb SU LOUD Enough Contest 2



We may write natural dynamic programming dp[i][j] with states - money spend and IQ.



- We may write natural dynamic programming dp[i][j] with states money spend and IQ.
- Transitions  $dp[i][j] = \sum n[i][j] * dp[i-c][j-d]$ . Time is  $n^2(\max c)^2$ .



• Let's look to the generating function  $F_i(x) = \sum dp[i][j]x^j$ 



- Let's look to the generating function  $F_i(x) = \sum dp[i][j]x^j$
- We need to calculate sum of some coefficients of  $F_i$  for every  $i \leq n$ .



- Let's look to the generating function  $F_i(x) = \sum dp[i][j]x^j$
- We need to calculate sum of some coefficients of  $F_i$  for every  $i \leq n$ .
- Let's remember the Fourier transform. This sum of coefficients may be rewritten as  $\sum_t c_t F_i(\epsilon_t)$ , where  $\epsilon_t$  roots of unity.



- Let's look to the generating function  $F_i(x) = \sum dp[i][j]x^j$
- We need to calculate sum of some coefficients of  $F_i$  for every  $i \leq n$ .
- Let's remember the Fourier transform. This sum of coefficients may be rewritten as  $\sum_t c_t F_i(\epsilon_t)$ , where  $\epsilon_t$  roots of unity.
- But how the  $F_i(\epsilon_t)$  looks like?



• Consider the generating function  $F_i(\epsilon_t)y^i$ .



- Consider the generating function  $F_i(\epsilon_t)y^i$ .
- It is some rational function with degree of denominator and numerator no more then max c.



- Consider the generating function  $F_i(\epsilon_t)y^i$ .
- It is some rational function with degree of denominator and numerator no more then max c.
- We just need to calculate linear combination of such rational functions, it can be done by divide-and-conquer. It is  $O(n(\max c) \log^2 n)$ .



We have graph, vertices are Young tableuxes, edge between them - if they differ in one cell. The task is to calculate number of ways to reach one vertex from another in the given number of steps.



- We have graph, vertices are Young tableuxes, edge between them if they differ in one cell. The task is to calculate number of ways to reach one vertex from another in the given number of steps.
- Lets say that the edge goes up, if it decreases number of cells, else down.



- We have graph, vertices are Young tableuxes, edge between them if they differ in one cell. The task is to calculate number of ways to reach one vertex from another in the given number of steps.
- Lets say that the edge goes up, if it decreases number of cells, else down.
- Main observation for every vertex number of edges going up is less by 1 then number of edges going down.



- We have graph, vertices are Young tableuxes, edge between them if they differ in one cell. The task is to calculate number of ways to reach one vertex from another in the given number of steps.
- Lets say that the edge goes up, if it decreases number of cells, else down.
- Main observation for every vertex number of edges going up is less by 1 then number of edges going down.
- Moreover, if 2 vertices have common neighbor, they have both common up neighbor and down neighbor.



■ Let's call a signature sequence of letters U and D. Path satisfies it, if in letter U it goes up, and in letter D it goes down.



- Let's call a signature sequence of letters U and D. Path satisfies it, if in letter U it goes up, and in letter D it goes down.
- Let's calcualte number of paths of some signature (F(S)). Suppose it has two adjacent letters DU in this order (sequence is  $S_1DUS_2$ ). Then we can see that  $F(S) = F(S_1UDS_2) + F(S_1S_2)$ .



- Let's call a signature sequence of letters U and D. Path satisfies it, if in letter U it goes up, and in letter D it goes down.
- Let's calcualte number of paths of some signature (F(S)). Suppose it has two adjacent letters DU in this order (sequence is  $S_1DUS_2$ ). Then we can see that  $F(S) = F(S_1UDS_2) + F(S_1S_2)$ .
- In this manner we can express all F(S) by linear combination of
  F(UU...UDD...D). Coefficients in expression have combinatorial sence.



- Let's call a signature sequence of letters U and D. Path satisfies it, if in letter U it goes up, and in letter D it goes down.
- Let's calcualte number of paths of some signature (F(S)). Suppose it has two adjacent letters DU in this order (sequence is  $S_1DUS_2$ ). Then we can see that  $F(S) = F(S_1UDS_2) + F(S_1S_2)$ .
- In this manner we can express all F(S) by linear combination of F(UU...UDD...D). Coefficients in expression have combinatorial sence.
- Then we can represent sum of all F(S) by linear combination of F(UU...UDD...D). Coefficients may be found explicitly.



Young

Values of all F(UU...UDD...D) can be found in dynamic programming, where states are Young subdiagrams of one of the two initial diagrams.



- Values of all F(UU...UDD...D) can be found in dynamic programming, where states are Young subdiagrams of one of the two initial diagrams.
- Asymptotics is O(k + "Number of Young subdiagrams of the given one"), which is small enough.



We have 3 guys, every second random one moves 1 step in the positive direction. Task is to calculate expectation of maximal coordinate of them.



We have 3 guys, every second random one moves 1 step in the positive direction. Task is to calculate expectation of maximal coordinate of them.

This number is indeed 
$$F(n) = \sum_{x+y+z=n} max(x+a, y+b, z+c) \frac{n!}{3^n x! y! z!}$$
.



- We have 3 guys, every second random one moves 1 step in the positive direction. Task is to calculate expectation of maximal coordinate of them.
- This number is indeed  $F(n) = \sum_{x+y+z=n} max(x+a, y+b, z+c) \frac{n!}{3^n x! y! z!}$ .
- Consider simpler sum: S(n) = ∑<sub>x+y+z=n,x+a≥y+b,x+a≥z+c</sub> 1/(x!y!z!). Logic with initial one is the same.



- We have 3 guys, every second random one moves 1 step in the positive direction. Task is to calculate expectation of maximal coordinate of them.
- This number is indeed  $F(n) = \sum_{x+y+z=n} max(x+a, y+b, z+c) \frac{n!}{3^n x! y! z!}$ .
- Consider simpler sum: S(n) = ∑<sub>x+y+z=n,x+a≥y+b,x+a≥z+c</sub> 1/(x!y!z!). Logic with initial one is the same.
- Let's try to calculate S(n) from S(n-1).



Denote  $A(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{x!y!z!}$ . Then we can see that nA(x, y, z) = A(x, y, z - 1) + A(x, y - 1, z) + A(x, y, z - 1). That is, we can see that if we sum up this equality for whole region, in the right side will be almost 3S(n-1)!

Denote  $A(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{x!y!z!}$ . Then we can see that nA(x, y, z) = A(x, y, z - 1) + A(x, y - 1, z) + A(x, y, z - 1). That is, we can see that if we sum up this equality for whole region, in the right side will be almost 3S(n-1)!

00

Problems will be only on borders. So we need to decrease or increase S(n) by some sums where x + a is almost equal y + b or z + c.

Denote  $A(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{x!y!z!}$ . Then we can see that nA(x, y, z) = A(x, y, z - 1) + A(x, y - 1, z) + A(x, y, z - 1). That is, we can see that if we sum up this equality for whole region, in the right side will be almost 3S(n-1)!

00

- Problems will be only on borders. So we need to decrease or increase S(n) by some sums where x + a is almost equal y + b or z + c.
- It is the sums like  $\sum_{x+a \ge y+b} B(x,y)$ , where  $B(x,y) = \frac{1}{x!(x+t)!y!}$

Denote  $A(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{x!y!z!}$ . Then we can see that nA(x, y, z) = A(x, y, z - 1) + A(x, y - 1, z) + A(x, y, z - 1). That is, we can see that if we sum up this equality for whole region, in the right side will be almost 3S(n-1)!

00

- Problems will be only on borders. So we need to decrease or increase S(n) by some sums where x + a is almost equal y + b or z + c.
- It is the sums like  $\sum_{x+a \ge y+b} B(x,y)$ , where  $B(x,y) = \frac{1}{x!(x+t)!y!}$
- How to calculate this? Almost the same! We can express B(x, y) as a linear combination of B(x 1, y), B(x, y 1), B(x, y 2) and pass to the borders, which are where all x + a, y + b, z + c are almost equal, and these are just binomial coefficients.

Denote  $A(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{x!y!z!}$ . Then we can see that nA(x, y, z) = A(x, y, z-1) + A(x, y-1, z) + A(x, y, z-1). That is, we can see that if we sum up this equality for whole region, in the right side will be almost 3S(n-1)!

00

- Problems will be only on borders. So we need to decrease or increase S(n) by some sums where x + a is almost equal y + b or z + c.
- It is the sums like  $\sum_{x+a\geq y+b} B(x,y)$ , where  $B(x,y) = \frac{1}{x!(x+t)!y!}$
- How to calculate this? Almost the same! We can express B(x, y) as a linear combination of B(x 1, y), B(x, y 1), B(x, y 2) and pass to the borders, which are where all x + a, y + b, z + c are almost equal, and these are just binomial coefficients.
- Time is O(n) with big constant. This idea works for any number of points.



 Given a graph, we need to calculate number of sets of vertices with even number of edges between them.



- Given a graph, we need to calculate number of sets of vertices with even number of edges between them.
- We can look at it as follows: we have some quadratic polynomial  $P(x_1, ..., x_n)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , we need to calculate number of solutions of equation  $F(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ .



- Given a graph, we need to calculate number of sets of vertices with even number of edges between them.
- We can look at it as follows: we have some quadratic polynomial  $P(x_1, ..., x_n)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , we need to calculate number of solutions of equation  $F(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ .
- We can suppose F does not contain term  $x_i^2$ , cause  $x_i^2 = x_i$



- Given a graph, we need to calculate number of sets of vertices with even number of edges between them.
- We can look at it as follows: we have some quadratic polynomial  $P(x_1, ..., x_n)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , we need to calculate number of solutions of equation  $F(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ .
- We can suppose F does not contain term  $x_i^2$ , cause  $x_i^2 = x_i$
- Let's take one variable x<sub>1</sub>. If there is no monomials with it, then in doesn't have any influence to polynomial.
- In the other case, we can say that  $P = x_1 L(x_2, ..., x_n) + Q(x_1, ..., x_n)$ , where L is linear.



• Lets divide all variable substitutions on 2 cases - L = 0 and L = 1.



- Lets divide all variable substitutions on 2 cases L = 0 and L = 1.
- In the second variant, there is equal number of solutions with P = 0 and P = 1, cause when we change  $x_1$ , P is also changing.



- Lets divide all variable substitutions on 2 cases L = 0 and L = 1.
- In the second variant, there is equal number of solutions with P = 0 and P = 1, cause when we change  $x_1$ , P is also changing.
- So we reduce the problem to the following one: how many solutions of system P = 0, L = 0 are.



- Lets divide all variable substitutions on 2 cases L = 0 and L = 1.
- In the second variant, there is equal number of solutions with P = 0 and P = 1, cause when we change  $x_1$ , P is also changing.
- So we reduce the problem to the following one: how many solutions of system P = 0, L = 0 are.
- But we can make a variables changing to make L new variable. So we reduced the problem to the same problem with less number of variables. We can continue this process further.



- Lets divide all variable substitutions on 2 cases L = 0 and L = 1.
- In the second variant, there is equal number of solutions with P = 0 and P = 1, cause when we change  $x_1$ , P is also changing.
- So we reduce the problem to the following one: how many solutions of system P = 0, L = 0 are.
- But we can make a variables changing to make L new variable. So we reduced the problem to the same problem with less number of variables. We can continue this process further.
- Time is  $O(n^3)$ .