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Abstract Let $V-\left\{b_{1} w_{1} \ldots w_{n}\right\}$ and $W-\left\{w_{1}, w_{2} \ldots . w_{n}\right\}$ be two linearly separable convex polygons whose vertices are specified by their cartesian coordinates in order. An algorithm with $O(m+n)$ worst-case time complexity is described for finding the minimum euclidean distance between a vertex $\because$ in $V$ and a vertex $w$ in $W$. It is also shown that the algorithm is optimal.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Let V be a convex polygon described by an ordered circular list $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$ of its $m$ vertices. Each edge of V is described by a pair ( $\mathrm{v}_{,}, \mathrm{v}_{i}, 1$ ) of consecutive vertices. We will say that the set of points in the polygon includes the interior of the polygon and its boundary. Let W be another convex polygon similarly described by another list $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{1}\right\}$ of its $n$ vertices. We assume that V and W do not intersect.

Let $d(p, q)$ be the Euclidean distance between points $p$ and $q$. This paper describes an $\mathrm{O}(m+n)$ optimal aigorithm for finding a pair of vertices $\left(v_{i}, w_{j}\right)$ such that for any other pair of vertices $\left(v_{k}, w_{j}\right)$, the following condition holds: $d\left(v_{i}, w_{j}\right) \leq d\left(v_{k}, w_{l}\right)$.

This problem is significant for several reasons. In the theory of geometric complexity it was an open question whether the problem could be solved in linear time. In practical applications in pattern recognition and cluster analysis $[8,9]$ one often encounters problems where it is desired to compute distances between sets of points representing objects or pattern classes. Finally, it is likely that other geomerric prohlems may require the computation of this distance for their solution.

Recently. Chin and Wang[3] have independently found another algorithm for this same problem. Their solution is similar to ours to the extent that they also perform an initial decomposition of the problem into four subproblems. However, their decomposition and solutions to the subproblems vary considerably from ours. In particular, our approach is based on the application of existing results for computing the relative neighbourhood graph of a convex polygonl7․ These differences will be highlighted in later sections.

Edelsbrunner|4] describes an optimal $\mathrm{O}(\log m+\log n)$ algorithm for finding the minimum distance between two convex polygons, where the nearest points are not restricted to vertices. This improves an earlier algorithm for this problem due to Schwartz[5] which runs in O ( $\log$ $m)(\log n)$ time.

The following secton presents some preliminary definitions and lemmas. Section 3 outlines a linear-time ten-step procedure for finding the nearest pair of vertices between $V$ and $W$. Section 4 shows how steps six. seven and cight of the ten-step procedure can be performed in linear time and Sec. 5 shows how step nine can be performed in linear time. Section 6 presents a proof that the nearest-vertices problem has an $\Omega(m+n)$ worst-case lower-bound. Finally, Sec. 7 presents some concluding remarks and open problems. As a final comment we remark that where the proofs can readily be reconstructed by the reader, we omit them in the interest of brevity.
2. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

## DEFINITION

The bridge between convex polygons $V$ and $W$ is the segment whose endpoints are the nearest points found by Edelsbrunner's algorithm. Note that the bridge may be realized by a vertex and an edge-point.

Lemma 1
If the bridge between V and W is realized by the pair of vertices $\left(v_{i}, w_{j}\right)$ ) then the shortest distance between the vertices of V and W is also realized by this pair of vertices.

## Definition

A corridor is a region bounded by two distinct parallel lines. We will say that the set of points in the corridor does not include the bounding lines. The complement of a corridor consists two disconnected closed half-planes. Each of these half-planes will be called a half-complement.

## Definition

We will say that every segment induces a corridor having the following characteristics:
(1) the bounding lines of the corridor are perpendicular to the inducing segment, and
(2) one bounding line passes through each endpoint of the segment.

## Definition

The lune of a segment $(p, q)$ is the set of points $r$ in the plane such that $d(p, r)<d(p, q)$ and $d(q, r)<d(q, p)$. Note that the lune consists of the intersection of the interior of the two circles. Both of these circles have a radius equal to $d(p, q)$. One circle is centered at point $p$, and the other is centered at point $q$.

## Lemma 2

Let $p$ and $q$ be the endpoints of the bridge between V and W , and let L be the lune of bridge ( $p, q$ ). The following two conditions hold:
(i) There cannot be any point $s \in \mathrm{~V}$ in L , and
(ii) There cannot be any point $t \in \mathrm{~W}$ in L .

## Lemma 3

The bridge between convex polygons V and W induces a corridor K falling between the polygons V and W . In other words,
(i) $V \cap K=\phi$ and $W \cap K=\phi$, and
(ii) V and W lie in separate half-complements of K .

Proof (by contradiction) of condition (i). (See Fig. 1.) Let $p$ and $q$ be the endpoints of the bridge. From Lemma 2, there cannot be any point of V or W in the lune of bridge $(p, q)$. Without loss of generality let us now assume that $V \cap \mathrm{~K} \neq \phi$. This means that there exists some point $t \in \mathrm{~V} \cap \mathrm{~K}$. Point $t$ is in K , thus on segment ( $p, t$ ) there must exist a point $z$ in the lune. Polygon V is convex, therefore segment $(p, t)$ lies totally within V . Therefore point $z \in \mathrm{~V}$. Thus point $z$ falls in both the lune and $V$ which contradicts Lemma 2.

Proof of condition (ii). Let $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ be the half-complement of K which contains bridge-endpoint $p \in \mathrm{~V}$, and let $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ be the half-complement which contains bridge-endpoint $q \in \mathrm{~W}$. Polygon V is connected, and $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are disjoint. Thus from condition i), $V$ lies entirely in $H_{1}$ or it lies entirely in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. Now $p \in \mathrm{~V}$ and $p \in \mathrm{H}_{1}$, therefore V lies entirely in $\mathrm{H}_{1}$. Similarly, polygon W lies entirely in $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ or in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. Now $q \in \mathrm{~W}$ and $q \in \mathrm{H}_{2}$, thus W lies entirely in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. Q.E.D.

## Definition

A point $p$ is left of a point $q$ if the $x$-coordinate of point $p$ is less than the $x$-coordinate of point $q$. Here, point $q$ is right of $p$. Similarly, a point $p$ is above a point $q$ if the $y$-coordinate of point $p$ is greater than the $y$-coordinate of point $q$. Here, point $q$ is below point $p$.

## Definition

We will say that a point $p$ is above a non-vertical line $l$ if a vertical half-line drawn downward from point $p$ intersects line $l$. Here, line $l$ lies below point $p$.

Similarly, a point $p$ is below a non-vertical line $/$ if a vertical half-line drawn upward from point $p$ intersects line $l$. Here, line $l$ lies above point $p$.
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## Definition

Let H be a half-plane bounded by a vertical line. We will say that line $l_{1}$ lies below $l_{2}$ in H if every point in $l_{1} \cap \mathrm{H}$ is below line $l_{2}$. Alternately, line $l_{2}$ lies above line $l_{1}$ in H .

## 3. THE GENERAL PROCEDURE FOR FINDING THE NEAREST PAIR OF VERTICES

In this section, the algorithm to find the nearest distance between the vertices of two convex polygons V and W is presented as a ten-step procedure called TENSTEP.

Steps 6, 7, 8 and 9 are four subproblems. Thus the ten-step procedure is actually a lineartime decompositon of the "nearest vertices" problem into the four subproblems of steps 6, 7, 8 and 9.

## PROCEDURE TENSTEP

1. Find the bridge between V and W using Edelsbrunner's algorithm. If the bridge is realized by two vertices then stop, because these two vertices also realize the shortest distance between vertices of V and W . Otherwise continue.
2. Let $\omega$ be the midpoint of this bridge. Translate the convex polygons so that $\omega$ is at the origin.
3. Rotate polygons V and W around origin $\omega$ to make the bridge horizontal. From Lemma 3. it follows that the horizontal bridge induces a vertical corridor between V and W. From here on in this paper, the term "corridor" will refer to this vertical corridor.
4. Find the vertices of V and W having the maximum $y$-coordinates. Call these vertices $v_{\text {max }}$ and $w_{\text {max }}$. If the maximum $y$-coordinate of polygon V is realized by a horizontal edge, then assign the left vertex of this edge to $v_{\text {max }}$. If the maximum $y$-coordinate of polygon W is realized by a horizontal edge, then assign the right vertex of this edge to $w_{\text {max }}$.
5. Find the vertices of V and W having the minimum $y$-coordinates. Call these vertices $v_{\min }$ and $w_{\min }$. If the minimum $y$-coordinate of polygon V is realized by a horizontal edge, then assign the left vertex of this edge to $v_{\text {min }}$. If the minimum $y$-coordinate of polygon W is realized by a horizontal edge, then assign the right vertex of this edge to $w_{\text {min }}$.

The vertices $v_{\text {max }}$ and $v_{\text {min }}$ delimit a left-chain and a right chain in polygon V. Similarly, vertices $w_{\text {max }}$ and $w_{\text {min }}$ delimit a left-chain and a right-chain in polygon W . We will call these chains $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}, \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ respectively.
6. Find the minimum distance $d_{1}$ between a vertex in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and a vertex in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$.
7. Find the minimum distance $d_{2}$ between a vertex in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}$ and a vertex in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$.
8. Find the minimum distance $d_{3}$ between a vertex in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}$ and a vertex in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$.
9. Find the minimum distance $d_{4}$ between a vertex in $V_{L}$ and a vertex in $W_{R}$.
10. The minimum distance between vertices of $V$ and $W$ is the smallest distance in the set $\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}, d_{4}\right\}$.

Steps $1,2,3,4$, and 5 above are computationally trivial and are easily done in $\mathrm{O}(m+n)$ time. Thus we turn our attention to steps 6 through 9 .

We note here that this decomposition is different from that used by Chin and Wang[3]. Although they also obtain four chains, their chains are not delimited by $v_{\text {max }}, v_{\text {min }}, w_{\text {max }}, w_{\text {min }}$, but by other vertices of V and W . The decomposition used here allows the problem to be solved using existing algorithms for the relative neighbourhood graph[7]. Thus the advantage of the method proposed here over that in [3] is that no new specialized code is needed.

## 4. PERFORMING STEPS 6. 7 AND 8 OF PROCEDURE TENSTEP

The subproblems in steps 6 and 7 are special cases of the following more general problem.
Let $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$ be the left-chain of W as determined by steps 4 and 5 of TENSTEP; and let $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right.$. $\left.\ldots, b_{\beta}\right\}$ be the list of its vertices in ascending order. Let $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ be any connected subchain of V represented by a list $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\alpha}\right\}$ of its vertices in counterclockwise order. In linear time, find the minimum distance between a vertex in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and a vertex in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$.

We will solve the more general subproblem. The solution will be readily applicable to the cases in steps 6, 7 and 8.

Lemma 4
The perpendicular bisectors of two non-horizontal edges $\left(b_{i}, b_{i+1}\right)$ and $\left(b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}\right)$ of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$ will intersect somewhere to the right of point $b_{i+1}$.

Proof. Let $l_{1}$ be the perpendicular bisector of edge $\left(b_{i}, b_{i+1}\right)$ and let $h_{i}$ be the part of $l_{i}$ which is on the right of edge $\left(b_{i}, b_{i+1}\right)$. Angle $\left(b_{i}, b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}\right)$ is a right turn, so the intersection of $l_{i}$ and $l_{i+1}$ will be where $h_{i}$ and $h_{i+1}$ intersect. Now, at least one of $h_{i}$ or $h_{i+1}$ lies to the right of point $b_{i+1}$ so $l_{i}$ and $l_{i+1}$ will intersect to the right of point $b_{i+1}$.
Q.E.D.

## Corollary 4.1

If edges $\left(b_{i}, b_{i+1}\right)$ and $\left(b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}\right)$ are non-horizontal, then on the left of the corridor, bisector $l_{i+1}$ lies above bisector $l_{i}$.

If we look at steps 4 and 5 of procedure TENSTEP, we note that the first or last edge of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$ might be horizontal. If $l_{1}$ is vertical, we will say that no points on the left of the corridor fall below $l_{1}$. If $l_{\beta-1}$ is vertical, we will say that all points on the left of the corridor fall below $l_{\beta-1}$.

## Corollary 4.2

Let edges $\left(b_{i}, b_{i+1}\right)$ and $\left(b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}\right)$ be any two consecutive edges in chain $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$. Every point $z$ on the left of the corridor which lies above $l_{i+1}$ will also lie above $l_{i}$. Every point $z^{\prime}$ on the left of the corridor which lies below $l_{i}$ will also lie below $l_{i+1}$.

## LEmma 5

If among all vertices in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}, b_{k}$ is the vertex nearest to $a_{i} \in V_{S}$, then $a_{j}$ lies below $l_{k}$ and above $I_{k-1}$. (See Fig. 2.)

Proof. $d\left(a_{j}, b_{k}\right)<d\left(a_{j}, b_{k-1}\right)$; thus $a_{j}$ lies above bisector $l_{k-1}$. Also, $d\left(a_{i}, b_{k}\right)<d\left(a_{j}, b_{k+1}\right)$; thus $a_{j}$ lies below bisector $l_{k}$.
Q.E.D.

Corollary 5.1
Vertex $a_{j}$ also lies above bisectors $l_{k-1}, l_{k-3}, \ldots, l_{1}$.
Corollary 5.2
Vertex $a_{j}$ also lies below bisectors $l_{k+1}, l_{k+2}, \ldots, l_{\beta-1}$.
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## Lemma 6

Let $b_{k} \in \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{L}}$ be the vertex nearest to $a_{j} \in \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{S}}$.
(i) For $i<k, d\left(a_{j}, b_{i+1}\right)<d\left(a_{j}, b_{i}\right)$.
(ii) For $i \geq k, d\left(a_{j}, b_{i}\right)<d\left(a_{j}, b_{i+1}\right)$.

Proof.
(i) If $i<k$, then $a_{j}$ is above $l_{i}$; thus $d\left(a_{i}, b_{i+1}\right)<d\left(a_{j}, b_{i}\right)$.
(ii) If $i \geq k$, then $a_{j}$ is below $l_{i}$; thus $d\left(a_{j}, b_{i}\right)<d\left(a_{j}, b_{i+1}\right)$.
Q.E.D.

Lemma 6 in effect says: Given any $a_{j} \in V_{\mathrm{s}}$, the function $d\left(a_{j}, b_{k}\right)$ for $k=\{1,2, \ldots \beta\}$ is unimodal, i.e., it has one local minimum. This is a key result which allows this problem to be solved in linear time and follows essentially from the fact that $a_{j}$ lies outside of W. If $a_{j}$ were in the interior of W , then $d\left(a_{j}, b_{k}\right)$ could be a multimodal function as was recently shown by Avis, Toussaint, and Bhattacharya[1] and Toussaint[10].

Since $d\left(a_{j}, b_{k}\right)$ is unimodal, the following function (called FINDMIN) will find the nearest vertex $b_{k}$ for each vertex $a_{j}$ in $V_{s}$.

## FUNCTION FINDMIN

(* input: The list $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\alpha}\right\}$ of vertices in $V_{s}$, and the list $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{\beta}\right\}$ of vertices in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}} . *$ )

1. $j \leftarrow 1$;
2. Find $k$ such that $d\left(a_{1}, b_{k}\right)$ is minimized;
$d_{\text {min }} \leftarrow d\left(a_{1}, b_{k}\right)$;
REPEAT
3. $j \leftarrow j+1$;
4. IF $d\left(a_{j}, b_{k-1}\right)<d\left(a_{j}, b_{k}\right)$ THEN
5. WHILE $d\left(a_{j}, b_{k-1}\right)<d\left(a_{j}, b_{k}\right)$ DO
6. $k \leftarrow k-1$;
7. ELSE
8. IF $d\left(a_{i}, b_{k+1}\right)<d\left(a_{i}, b_{k}\right)$ THEN
9. WHILE $d\left(a_{j}, b_{k+1}\right)<d\left(a_{j}, b_{k}\right)$ DO
10. $k \leftarrow k-1$;
11. IF $d\left(a_{j}, b_{k}\right)<d_{\text {min }}$ THEN $d_{\text {min }} \leftarrow d\left(a_{i}, b_{k}\right)$;
12. UNTIL $j=\alpha$;
13. RETURN WITH $d_{\text {min }}$ as the minimum distance between $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$;

END. (* of function FINDMIN *)
Procedure FINDMIN will work correctly because for every $a_{i}$ in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}$, statements 6 thru 12 will minimize the unimodal function $d\left(a_{j}, b_{k}\right)$. Thus at the end of each REPEAT loop, variable $k$ points to the vertex $b_{k}$ in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$ nearest to $a_{j}$. Statement 13 assures that $d_{\text {min }}$ at the end of FINDMIN's execution will hold the lowest of the minimum distances between pairs $\left(a_{j}, b_{k}\right)$.

We will now show that if $V_{S}$ has $\alpha$ vertices and $W_{L}$ has $\beta$ vertices, then function FINDMIN takes $O(\alpha+\beta)$ time.

For each execution of the REPEAT loop, let $j_{1}$ be the value of variable $j$ just before step 5 is performed, and let $j_{2}$ be the value of $j$ after step 5 is performed. Also, let $k_{0}$ be the value of $k$ just before steps 6 thru 12 are performed.

Lemma 7
Just before the execution of step 5, variable $k_{0}$ points to the vertex $b_{k_{\theta}}$ nearest to $a_{j j}$.

## Lemma 8

Just before the execution of step 5 of FINDMIN, these conditions hold:
(i) For all $i<k_{0}, a_{j_{1}}$ lies above bisector $l_{i}$,
(ii) For all $i \geq k_{0}, a_{j_{1}}$ lies below bisector $l_{i}$.

Now, step 8 of FINDMIN is performed only when $d\left(a_{j}, b_{k-1}\right)<d\left(a_{i}, b_{k}\right)$; i.e. only when bisector $l_{k-1}$ is above $a_{j, 2}$. The execution of step 8 implies that during the current iteration of the REPEAT loop, variable $k$ is only decremented. (i.e. $k<k_{0}$.) Thus from condition $i$ ) of Lemma 8, $a_{j 1}$ is above $l_{k-1}$ which is in turn above $a_{j,}$. In other words, step 8 is performed only when edge ( $a_{j}, a_{j}$ ) crosses from above $l_{k-1}$ to below $l_{k-1}$.

For a given edge ( $a_{j}, a_{j 2}$ ) of $\mathrm{V}_{5}$, the REPEAT loop is performed only once. If step 8 is performed, then during this one iteration of the REPEAT loop, variable $k$ will move only downward. Thus if a given edge $\left(a_{j_{1}}, a_{j_{j}}\right)$ intersects a bisector $l_{k-1}$, then step 8 will be performed at most once for that intersection.

Lemma 9
During the entire execution of function FINDMIN, step 8 is performed at most $2 \beta$ times.
Proof. Let $N$ be the number of intersections between edges in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and bisectors of edges in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$. From the previous discussion, we know that step 8 is performed only when edge $\left(a_{i}, a_{i}\right)$ intersects bisector $l_{k-1}$. We also know that step 8 is performed at most once for each of these intersections. Thus the number of executions of step 8 is at most $N$. Now, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is a subchain of a convex polygon V . Thus a given bisector $l_{k-1}$ will intersect $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}$ at most twice. Therefore $N$ is at most twice the number of bisectors, which equals twice the number of edges in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$. The number of executions of step 8 is at most $N$, which is in turn at most twice the number of edges in $W_{L}$.

Lemma 10
During the entire execution of function FINDMIN, step 12 is performed at most $2 \beta$ times. Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 9.

## Lemma 11

The execution of function FINDMIN takes $\mathrm{O}(\alpha+\beta)$ time.
Proof. Steps 1, 3 and 15 of FINDMIN each take constant time. Step 2 takes $O(\beta)$ time. Steps $4,5,6,9,10,13$, and 14 are each executed at most $(\alpha-1)$ times. Steps 8 and 12 are each executed at most $2 \beta$ times. Steps 7 and 11 are each executed at most $(2 \beta+\alpha-1)$ times.
Q.E.D.

Since $\alpha<m$ and $\beta<n$, the previous lemmas imply the following result.

## Theorem 1

Function FINDMIN takes $\mathrm{O}(m+n)$ time to execute.
In linear time FINDMIN determines the nearest distance between vertices in chain $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ and vertices in any subchain $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ of polygon V. Function FINDMIN can be used to perform steps 6 and 7 of procedure TENSTEP in section three of this paper.

Step 8 of TENSTEP can be performed by simply negating the $x$-coordinate of chaims $V_{k}$ and $W_{R}$, which reduces step 8 down to step 6 .

## 5. PERFORMING STEP 9 OF PROCEDURE TENSTEP

In step 9 we wish to find the shortest distance between vertices of chains $V_{L}$ and $W_{k}$ in linear time. Chain $V_{L}$ is a subchain of convex polygon $V$, so the vertices of $V_{L}$ will determine a convex polygon. Similarly, chain $W_{R}$ will also determine a convex polygon as illustrated in Fig. 3. Let $U$ be the convex hull of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}} \cup \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ illustrated in Fig. 4. For a set S of points, let $B(S)$ denote the boundary of the convex hull of S . Thus $B\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{L}} \cup \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)=B(U)$.

Now, for any two chains $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}, 16$ logical cases arise determined by whether $v_{\max }, v_{\min }, w_{\max }$ and $w_{\min }$ each does or does not determine a vertex of $U$. The vertex of $U$ having the maximum $y$-coordinate must be either $v_{\text {max }}$ or $w_{\text {max }}$; and the vertex of $U$ with the minimum $y$-coordinate must be either $v_{\text {min }}$ or $w_{\text {min }}$. Thus only 9 of the 16 logical cases are possible. (These 9 cases are illustrated in Fig. 5.)

Recall that the horizontal bridge connects a point in $V$ to a point in W . Thus $v_{\max }$ and $w_{\max }$ must both be above the bridge; and $v_{\text {min }}$ and $w_{\text {minin }}^{\prime}$ must both be below the bridge. Because of this, there cannot be a case where $v_{\text {min }}$ is above $w_{\text {max }}$ or where $v_{\text {max }}$ is below $w_{\text {min }}$.

In the rest of this paper, let us say that the set of points in a chain will consist of vertices, and not edge-points. One can perform the following linear-time decomposition on the problem of performing step 9 of procedure TENSTEP:
(i) Find $B\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{L}} \cup \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ in linear time. (Toussaint[9] and Shamos[6] exhibit linear-time algorithms which find the convex hull of the union of two convex polygons.)
(ii) In linear time, determine which of the 9 possible cases holds.
(iii) Solve the problem for the appropriate case.

Now, case 9 can be reduced to case 5 in linear time by negating the $x$-coordinate of the vertices in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$. Similarly, case 3 can be reduced to case 2 , and case 8 can be reduced to case 6 . Case 4 can be reduced to case 2 in linear time by negating the $y$-coordinate of the vertices in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$; and case 7 can be reduced to case 2 by negating both the $x$ and $y$ -
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coordinates of the vertices. To solve step 9 of TENSTEP in linear time, we are thus left to solve for cases 1, 2, 5 and 6.

At this point, some more definitions and lemmas are introduced:

$$
\text { Let point } s_{i} \text { be an element of point set } S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots \ldots, s_{i}\right\} \text {. }
$$

## Definition

If $s_{i}$ has a greater $x$-coordinate and a greater $y$-coordinate than every other point in $S$, then we will say that point $s_{i} 1$-dominates the rest of set $S$. If $s_{i}$ has a smaller $x$-coordinate and a larger $y$-coordinate than every other point in $S$, then point $s_{i} 2$-dominates the rest of set $S$. If point $s_{i}$ has a smaller $x$-coordinate and a smaller $y$-coordinate than all the other points, then $s_{i}$ 3 -dominates the rest of set $S$. If $s_{i}$ has a larger $x$-coordinate and a smaller $y$-coordinate than all the other points, then $s_{i} 4$-dominates the rest of $S$.

## Lemma 12

If point $S_{i} k$-dominates the rest of set $S$, and if some point $p$ not in $S k$-dominates all of set $S$, then the point in set $S$ closest to $p$ is $s_{i}$.

Now, if point $s_{i} k$-dominates the rest of set $S$, and if every point in set $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $p_{\text {. }}$ ) in turn $k$-dominates all of set $S$, then the shortest distance between sets $S$ and $P$ is realized by $s_{i}$ and some point in $P$. The following function will thus find the shortest distance between sets $S$ and $P$ in linear time:

FUNCTION SCANSET ( $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{P}$ );

1. $d_{\min } \leftarrow$ infinity;
2. FOR each point $p \in P$ DO
3. IF $d\left(s_{i}, p\right)<d_{\text {min }}$ THEN $d_{\text {min }} \leftarrow d\left(s_{i}, p\right)$;
4. RETURN WITH $d_{\text {min }}$ as the shortest distance between sets $S$ and $P$;

END. (* of function SCANSET *)

## DEFINITION

Let $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{z}\right\}$ be a finite list of points. The relative neighborhood graph of $P$ (denoted $\operatorname{RNG}(P))$ is the list of edges $\left\{\left(p_{i_{1}}, p_{j_{1}}\right),\left(p_{i_{2}}, p_{i_{2}}\right), \ldots,\left(p_{i_{7}, p}, p_{j_{7}}\right)\right\}$ such that the lune of each edge ( $p_{i}, p_{j}$ ) is empty.

In [12], it is shown that the number of edges in this graph cannot exceed $3 z-6$. Thus the number of edges in $\mathrm{RNG}(P)$ is always linear. If the points $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{3}\right\}$ represent the vertices of a convex polygon in order, then $\operatorname{RNG}(P)$ can be found in linear time using an algorithm by Supowit[7].

## Lemma 13

Let $S_{i}$ and $S_{2}$ be two finite sets of points. The shortest distance between sets $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ will be realized by an edge in $\operatorname{RNG}\left(S_{i} \cup S_{2}\right)$. This result has been proven in [11].

If $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are disjoint subchains of convex polygon $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{*}\right\}$, then we can get the shortest distance between vertices of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ in linear time by using the following algorithm by Toussaint and Bhattacharya|11]: we use Supowit's[7] linear algorithm to find $\operatorname{RNG}\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)$, and then we locate the shortest edge of $\operatorname{RNG}\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)$ that connects a vertex of $C_{i}$ with a vertex of $C_{1}$. The following function, called USERNG, uses this technique:

## FUNCTION USERNG( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$

1. Find $\mathrm{RNG}\left(C_{i} \cup C_{2}\right)$ using Supowit's linear algorithm;
2. $d_{\text {min }} \leftarrow$ infinity;
3. FOR each edge $(a, b)$ of $\operatorname{RNG}\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right) \mathrm{DO}$
4. IF $a \in C_{1}$ AND $b \in C_{2}$

OR $b \in C_{1}$ AND $a \in C_{2}$ THEN
5. IF $d(a . b)<d_{\text {min }}$ THEN $d_{\text {min }} \leftarrow d(a . b)$;
6. ENDFOR:
7. RETURN WITH $d_{\min }$ as the shortest distance between $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$;

END. (* of function USERNG *)
In [11] this solution is applied to the more general problem where the vertices of $P$ are arbitrarily colored with two colors, and we want to find the closest pair of vertices of opposite colors.

Let us now return to the problem of solving cases $1,2,5$ and 6 in Fig. 5. If case 1 holds, then chains $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ are two subchains of a convex polygon. Thus we can find the shortest
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distance between chains $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ by applying USERNG to these two chains. Case 2 of Fig. 5 is illustrated in more detail in Fig. 6. One can see that USERNG cannot be applied for case 2 because not all the vertices of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}} \cup \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ are in $B\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{L}} \cup \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$. However, chains $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ can be broken into subchains that can be processed in linear time. The following function, called CASE2, shows how this is done:

## FUNCTION CASE2

(* Let $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ be the list of vertices in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ which are on $B\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{L}} \cup \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$.
(In Fig. 6, $W^{\prime}=\left\{w_{\max }, \ldots, w_{c}\right\}$.)
Let $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}$ be the list of vertices in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ which are not on $B\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{L}} \cup \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$.
(In Fig. 6, $W^{\prime \prime}=\left\{w_{c+1}, \ldots, w_{\min }\right\}$.)
Let $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ be the list of vertices in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ which are above $w_{\text {min }}$.
(In Fig. 6, $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}=\left\{v_{\text {max }}, \ldots, v_{h}\right\}$.)
Let $V^{\prime \prime}$ be the list of vertices in $V_{L}$ which are not above $w_{\text {min }}$.
(In Fig. 6, $\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{v_{h+1}, \ldots, v_{\text {min }}\right\}$.)

1. $d_{\text {min }} \leftarrow$ smallest of the three values returned by these functions:
(i) $\operatorname{USERNG}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)$
(ii) USERNG $\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}\right)$
(iii) $\operatorname{SCANSET}\left(w_{\text {min }}, V^{\prime \prime}\right)$
2. RETURN WITH $d_{\min }$ as the shortest distance between $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$;

END. (* of function CASE2 *)
Function USERNG $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)$ can be used because $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ are both on $B\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{L}} \cup \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)$ and thus form a convex polygon. Similarly, chains $V^{\prime}$ and $W^{\prime \prime}$ form a convex polygon. SCAN$\operatorname{SET}\left(w_{\text {min }}, V^{\prime \prime}\right)$ can be used because $w_{\text {min }} 3$-dominates the rest of $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}$ and every point in $\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime} 3$ dominates $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}$. Thus FUNCTION CASE2 works correctly and takes $\mathrm{O}(m+n)$ time.

Now consider case 5. Here we can decompose the problem into five subproblems by splitting $V_{L}$ and $W_{R}$ each into three chains as illustrated in Fig. 7 and using FUNCTION CASE5 defined below.

## FUNCTION CASE5

(* Let $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}$ be the list of vertices in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ that are on $B\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{L}} \cup \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$.
(In Fig. 7, $\left.\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{w_{c+1}, \ldots, w_{f}\right\}.\right)$
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Let $W^{\prime}$ be the vertices in $W_{R}$ that are above $W^{\prime \prime}$. (In Fig. 7, $W^{\prime}=\left\{w_{\text {max }}, \ldots, w_{e}\right\}$. )
Let $W^{\prime \prime \prime}$ be the vertices in $W_{R}$ that are below $W^{\prime \prime}$. (In Fig. 7, $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left\{w_{f+1}, \ldots, w_{\text {min }}\right\}$.)
Let $\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}$ be the vertices in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ that are below $w_{\max }$ and above $w_{\text {min }}$. (In Fig. 7. $V^{\prime \prime}=\left\{v_{g+1}, \ldots, v_{h}\right\}$.)
Let $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ be the vertices in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ that are not below $w_{\text {max }}$. (In Fig. 7, $V^{\prime}=\left\{v_{\max }, \ldots, v_{g}\right\}$. )
Let $\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ be the vertices in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ that are not above $w_{\text {min }}$. (In Fig. $\left.7, V^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left\{v_{h+1}, \ldots, v_{\text {min }}\right\}.\right) *$ )

1. $d_{\min } \leftarrow$ smallest of the five values returned by these functions:
(i) $\operatorname{SCANSET}\left(w_{\text {max }}, V^{\prime}\right)$
(ii) USERNG $\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime} \cup \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)$
(iii) USERNG( $\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}\right)$
(iv) USERNG( $\left.\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$
(v) SCANSET( $w_{\min }, \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ )
2. RETURN WITH $d_{\text {min }}$ as the shortest distance between $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$;

END. (* of function CASES *)
The three uses of USERNG are justified because in each case a convex polygon is being processed. The first SCANSET will find the shortest distance between $V^{\prime}$ and $W^{\prime}$ because $w_{\max }$ 2-dominates the rest of $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ and every point in $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ 2-dominates $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$. The second SCANSET will find the shortest distance between $\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ because $w_{\text {min }} 3$-dominates the rest of $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and every point in $\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ 3-dominates $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Thus FUNCTION CASE5 will correctly find the minimum distance in case 5 in $\mathrm{O}(m+n)$ time.

Next, consider case 6. which is illustrated in Fig. 8. Let $v_{c}$ be the lowest vertex in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}} \cap B(U)$. and let $w_{\text {, }}$ be the highest vertex in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}} \cap B(U)$. Let $v_{h}$ be the lowest vertex of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ which is above $w_{\max }$, and let $w_{n}$, be the highest vertex of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ which is below $v_{\min }$. Vertices $v_{c}, w_{c}, v_{h}$ and $w_{h}$ are illustrated in Fig. 8. Vertices $v_{c}$ and $v_{h}$ will divide $V_{L}$ into an upper, middle and lower chain. Call these chains $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ respectively. Similarly, let $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}$ and $W^{\prime \prime \prime}$ be the upper. middle and lower chains of $W_{R}$. Looking at case 6 , one might at first glance
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think that the following procedure will find the shortest distance between $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ :
$d_{\text {min }} \leftarrow$ smallest of the five values returned by these functions:
(i) $\operatorname{SCANSET}\left(w_{\max }, \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)$
(ii) USERNG( $\left.V^{\prime \prime} \cup V^{\prime \prime}, W^{\prime}\right)$
(iii) USERNG( $\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}\right)$
(iv) USERNG $\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$
(v) SCANSET $\left(v_{\min }, W^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$

This will not work in all situations, however. If $v_{c}$ is higher than $v_{h}$ [as in Fig. 8(a)], then the third use of USERNG need not work because $\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}$ is not in $B\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime} \cup \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$. A simple sequence of SCANSET's and USERNG's that works for all occurrences of case 6 was not
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found. However, case 6 can be further decomposed into the following four subcases:
(6.1) $v_{c}$ is above $v_{h}$ and $w_{c}$ is above $w_{h}$.
(6.2) $v_{c}$ is above $v_{h}$ and $w_{c}$ is below $w_{h}$.
(6.3) $v_{c}$ is below $v_{h}$ and $w_{c}$ is above $w_{h}$.
(6.4) $v_{c}$ is below $v_{h}$ and $w_{c}$ is below $w_{h}$.

Each of these subcases is illustrated in Fig. 8. For case 6.1, the following statement will find the shortest distance between $V_{L}$ and $W_{R}$ :

$$
d_{\min } \leftarrow \text { smallest of the five values returned by these functions: }
$$

(i) $\operatorname{SCANSET}\left(w_{\max }, V^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}\right)$


Fig. 8(d). (Case 6.4).
(ii) USERNG( $\left.\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)$
(iii) $\operatorname{USERNG}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}\right)$
(iv) USERNG(V', W"')
(v) $\operatorname{SCANSET}\left(v_{\min }, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$

The first SCANSET will find the shortest distance between $V^{\prime} \cup V^{\prime \prime}$ and $W^{\prime}$, and the second SCANSET will find the shortest distance between $V^{\prime \prime} \cup V^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $W^{\prime \prime \prime}$. For case 6.2 , the following statement will find the shortest distance between $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ :
$d_{\text {min }} \leftarrow$ smallest of the five values returned by these functions:
(i) $\operatorname{SCANSET}\left(w_{\max }, \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}\right)$
(ii) $\operatorname{USERNG}\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)$
(iii) $\operatorname{SCANSET}\left(w_{h}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$
(iv) USERNG( $\left.\mathrm{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$
(v) $\operatorname{SCANSET}\left(v_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$

The first SCANSET will return with the shortest distance between $V^{\prime} \cup V^{\prime \prime}$ and $W^{\prime}$. The second SCANSET will return with the shortest distance between $V_{L}$ and $W^{\prime \prime}$, because $w_{n}^{\prime} 2$ dominates the rest of $W^{\prime \prime}$, and every point in $V_{L}$ 2-dominates all of $W^{\prime \prime}$. The third SCANSET will return with the shortest distance between $V^{\prime \prime} \cup V^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $W^{\prime \prime \prime}$. For case 6.3 , the following statement will find the shortest distance between $V_{L}$ and $W_{R}$ :
$d_{\text {min }} \leftarrow$ smallest of the five values returned by these functions:
(i) $\operatorname{SCANSET}\left(w_{\max }, \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)$
(ii) USERNG( $\left.\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime} \cup \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)$
(iii) USERNG( $\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}\right)$
(iv) USERNG $\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$
(v) SCANSET $\left(v_{\min }, W^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$

The first SCANSET finds the shortest distance between $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ and the second SCANSET finds the minimum distance between $\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Finally, for case 6.4 , this last statement will find the shortest distance between $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}$ :
$d_{\text {min }} \leftarrow$ smallest of the five values returned by these functions:
(i) $\operatorname{SCANSET}\left(w_{\max }, \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right)$
(ii) $\operatorname{USERNG}\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime} \cup \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right)$
(iii) $\operatorname{SCANSET}\left(w_{h}, V_{L}\right)$
(iv) USERNG( $\left.\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$
(v) $\operatorname{SCANSET}\left(v_{\min }, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$

The first SCANSET finds the shortest distance between $V^{\prime}$ and $W^{\prime}$. The second SCANSET finds the shortest distance between $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}$, because point $w_{h} 2$-dominates the rest of $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}$, and every point in $V_{I}$ 2-dominates $W^{\prime \prime}$. The third SCANSET finds the minimum distance between $\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Finding $v_{c}, v_{h}, w_{c}$, and $w_{h}$ and determining their relative positions by $y$ coordinates can clearly be done in linear time. Thus, determining which of the four subcases applies can also be done in linear time. Since each subcase requires only linear time we may conclude that step 9 can be executed correctly in $\mathrm{O}(m+n)$ time.

So far we have shown then that steps $1-9$ of procedure TENSTEP can each be performed in linear time or faster. Step 10 obviously takes constant time and we have therefore established the following theorem.
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## Theorem 2

The minimum vertex-distance between V and W can be computed correctly in $\mathrm{O}(m+n)$ time.

## 6. A LOWER BOUND ON THE COMPLEXITY OF THE PROBLEM

## Theorem 3

The complexity of finding the shortest distance between the vertices of two convex polygons is $\Omega(m+n)$.

Proof. Two polygons requiring a linear search are constructed and illustrated in Fig. 9. We place the vertices of polygon $W$ on an arc of a circle, and we let polygon $V$ consist of only one vertex $v$ located at the center of the circle. If one of the vertices $w_{j}$ of W is perturbed slightly toward $v$ while maintaining the convexity of $W$, then the shortest vertex distance between $V$ and $W$ will be realized by $v$ and the perturbed vertex. To correctly find the shortest distance, an algorithm will have to perform a linear scan through the vertices of W .
Q.E.D.

## 7. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have exhibited linear upper and lower bounds on the problem of finding the closest pair of vertices between two linearly separable convex polygons. The algorithm establishing the upper bound is based extensively on the application of existing results on unimodality[1, 10], the relative neighborhood graph[7, 12] and distance between sets[11]. An immediate extension of this problem, not treated here, is the case of intersecting polygons. By combining the techniques used in this paper with existing results on polygon decomposition and convex polygon intersections it is possible to show that the general problem that includes intersecting polygons can also be solved in $\mathrm{O}(m+n)$ time[13].

Several related problems remain open questions. One concerns the extension of the above problems to three dimensions. Another is the all-nearest-vertices-between-sets problem for convex polygons. Here, for every vertex $v_{1}$ in V . we wish to find the closest vertex in W , and
for every vertex $w_{j}$ in W , we wish to find the closest vertex in V . The chief difficulty of this problem lies in the cases where some closest vertices lie in the outer chains.

As mentioned in the introduction an alternate linear algorithm for this problem has been independently discovered by Chin and Wang[3]. Hence the lower bound proof presented here also establishes the optimality of their algorithm. Thus we observe two different ways of obtaining linear time algorithms. An interesting open problem from the practical point of view would be to compare actual running times of implementations of both of these algorithms.
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